

Seasonal variation of leaf surface mycoflora of some ornamental plants from Shendra Midc Area, Aurangabad, Maharashtra

Satpute SB, Dhotre ST, Vanmare DJ

Department of Botany, Vivekanand Arts, Sardar Dalip Singh Commerce and Science College, Samarth Nagar, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out during the months October 2015 to September 2016 to observe the leaf surface mycoflora of ornamental plants from Shendra MIDC area, Aurangabad (MS). Leaf surface mycoflora were studied season wise that is winter, summer and rainy. Three plants were selected viz. *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L., *Acalypha wilkesiana-kona* Gold and *Caesalpinia pulcherima* (red). Leaf samples were collected in different seasons and mycoflora were isolated and pure cultures were maintained on Potato dextrose agar. Identification of mycoflora was done by morphological characters and microscopic observations. During this investigation 18 fungal species were recorded. Average percentage frequency of *A. niger* were higher in all three plants and lower average percentage frequency were observed in *R. bataticola* from *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L., *Trichoderma* from *Acalypha wilkesiana-kona* Gold and *R. macrophomina* from *Caesalpinia pulcherima* (red). Highest mycoflora were recorded during rainy season followed by winter and summer seasons in decreasing order.

Keywords: Seasonal variation, Mycoflora, *A. niger*, *R. bataticola*, *Trichoderma*, ornamental plants

1. Introduction

Leaf surface mycoflora of ornamental plant from industrial area have been poorly studied as compared to endophytes, saprobes and pathogenic fungi. Numerous investigation carried out on the fungus flora of leaf surface of several plants growing in garden or cultivated in many parts of the world by several researchers' (A. Eicker 1976, A.H.M. El-Said. 2001, H. M. Abdel-Fattah *et al.* 1977, Nagaraja T. G. 1991, Sharma P. D. 1974, S. I. I. Abdel-Hafez 1985) ^{1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9}. They were reported the fungi from leaf surfaces and observed that they are basically similar on the two types of media and the most common fungi were *Alternaria*, *Aspergillus*, *Chaetomium*, *Cladosporium*, *Cochliobolus*, *Curvularia*, *Gibberella*, *Memnoniella*, *Mycosphaerella*, *Setosphaeria* and *Stachybotrys*.

The spores present in air are inhaled by various living beings like human beings, animals, birds, and also they settled on the plant surfaces, where they germinate and produce mycelia or they may produce toxins which are allergic in nature. Sometimes they cause diseases of incurable forms to living beings. These fungal spores act as allergens to human beings and also pollute the air. Many physical, chemical and biological factors bring out causative changes in composition of aero-mycoflora of an area and different fungal species are restricted to that of particular area with specific environmental conditions (Verma K. S. 1990). The airborne fungal spores and their concentration vary from place to place. To view this type of study in an industrial area, the present investigation was undertaken. To view such causal organism deposited on leaf surfaces, the experimental work was undertaken to identify the mycoflora from leaf surface of an industrial area.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 sample collection

The leaves of *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*, *Acalypha wilkesiana-kona* Gold and *Caesalpinia pulcherima*. were collected in three different seasons in sterile zip- lock bags from Shendra MIDC area Aurangabad (MS).

2.2 isolation of leaf surface mycoflora

Leaf wash and leaf print method was used for isolation of mycoflora. Dorsal and ventral leaf impressions were taken on PDA medium. Collected leaves samples were cuts and about 10 gm of sample stir into 100 ml distilled water in a conical flask. This liquid sample was used for isolation of fungus. All the Petri dishes were incubated at room temperature $27^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ for one week. The fungi growing out from the sample were sub cultured on fresh PDA medium to get pure culture and stored in slants.

2.3 Identification of leaf surface mycoflora

The leaf surface mycoflora were identified on the basis of morphological and microscopic observations.

3. Result and Discussion.

During the present investigation *Alternaria alternata*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus carbonarius*, *Aspergillus nidulus*, *Cladosporium oxysporum*, *Rhizopus*, *Fusarium roseum*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Rhizoctonia bataticola*, *Rhizoctonia macrophomina*, *Curvularia lunata*, *Penicillium*, *Torula*, *Phytophthora rubra*, *Trichoderma* and *Mycogone* mycoflora were recorded on leaves of three ornamental plants from Shendra MIDC, Aurangabad (MS) in different seasons. In *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L. percentage frequency of *A. niger* was maximum i.e. 19.84 % in leaf wash and 19.30 % in leaf print

followed by *Cladosporium fulvum* (11.34 % LW and 14.37 % LP), *Curvularia* (10.70 % LW and 11.30 % LP), *Alternaria alternata* (9.76 % LW and 9.70 % LP), *Aspergillus nidulans* (6.66 % LW and 4.73 % LP), *Fusarium oxysporium* (6.55 % LW and 4.61 % LP), *Aspergillus flavus* (5.91 % LW and 4.83 % LP), *Rhizopus* (5.75 % LW and 6.78 % LP), *Trichoderma* (5.29 % LW and 4.76 % LP), *Penicillium* (4.16 % LW and 4.80 % LP),

Fusarium roseum (3.99 % LW and 3.61 % LP), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (2.84 % LW and 2.44 % LP), *Torula* (2.01 % LW and 2.49 % LP), *Mycogone* (1.57 % LW and 1.15 % LP), *Aspergillus carbonarius* (1.46 % LW and 3.07 % LP), *Phytophthora rubra* (1.21 % LW and 1.13 % LP) and minimum percentage frequency were observed in *R. bataticola* i.e. 0.88% in leaf wash and 0.88% in leaf print (Table 1).

Table 1: Percentage frequency of leaf surface mycoflora of *Hibiscus rosa-sinesis* L.

Percentage frequency of leaf surface mycoflora of <i>Hibiscus rosa-sinesis</i> during different months of 2015-2016.																														
Sr. No.	Mycoflora species	Winter								Summer								Rainy								Average		Average %		
		Oct		Nov		Dec		Jan		Feb		Mar		April		May		June		July		Aug		Sept		LW	LP	LW	LP	
		LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	
1	<i>A.alternata</i>	1	2.1	11.1	9.2	6.2	12	7.4	3.2	1.2	2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	14	12	14	4.6	4.8	9.769	9.706		
2	<i>A.niger</i>	6.7	7.2	5	5.5	7.1	7.8	14	16	8	8.1	13	11	8.9	8	6.2	5.8	10	12.4	12	14	11	9.8	10	8	9.4	9.5	19.84	19.3	
3	<i>A. flavus</i>	0	0	4.1	3	6.2	4.1	4	1	1	0	8	9.3	7.1	6	3.1	5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.8	2.4	5.918	4.836	
4	<i>A. fumigatus</i>	0	0	6	4.1	6	7	0	0	0	0	4.1	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.3	1.2	2.844	2.444
5	<i>A.carbonarius</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2	0	0	6.1	0	3.7	5.1	8.3	0.7	1.5	1.466	3.071	
6	<i>A. nidulans</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.2	5.1	1.9	8.1	7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.4	4.8	0	0	10	8.9	3.1	2.3	6.66	4.734	
7	<i>C. fulvum</i>	10	10	4.2	6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	15.1	0	13	12	14	25	26	5.4	7.1	11.34	14.37	
8	<i>curvularia sp.</i>	3.4	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2.3	0	0	0	0	29	30.9	0	0	0	0	25	30	5.1	5.6	10.7	11.3	
9	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	0	0	5.9	2.1	3.3	2.3	5.2	4.1	0	0	0	0	3.2	4.1	3.1	4.2	12	8.1	0	0	0	0	4.1	2.3	3.1	2.3	6.554	4.616	
10	<i>F. roseum</i>	2.1	3	2.3	2.4	3.1	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	5.1	7.1	4.3	0	0	5.9	4.1	1.9	1.8	3.992	3.614	
11	<i>Mycogone</i>	2.3	2.1	3.1	2.3	1.5	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.7	0.6	1.572	1.154	
12	<i>Penicillium</i>	1.9	3.2	3	2	4.5	4.2	4	3	4.1	5.9	6.1	7.9	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2.4	4.169	4.802	
13	<i>P. rubra</i>	0	0	3.7	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2.2	3.5	0	0	0.6	0.6	1.219	1.137	
14	<i>R. bataticola</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.1	0	2.1	4	0	0.4	0.4	0.883	0.88	
15	<i>Torula</i>	6	6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.6	1.4	0	1	1.2	2.014	2.494	
16	<i>Trichoderma</i>	4	1.5	4	2	6	8	0	0	5.3	6.7	4.1	3.7	0	0	0	0	3.2	2.1	0	1	3.4	3.1	0	0	2.5	2.3	5.299	4.768	
17	<i>Rhizopus</i>	7	6.1	3.2	6	6.2	7.4	6	6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.1	12.1	3.1	0	0	2.2	0	0	2.7	3.3	5.759	6.788	
18	Total	45	45	55.6	46	50	57	46	39	29	30	46	44.6	19	18	12	15	84	87.9	32	48	45	58	103	102	47	49	100	100	

LW = Leaf wash, LP = Leaf Print.

In *Acalypha wilkesiana-kona* Gold percentage frequency of *A. niger* was maximum i.e. 29.56 % in leaf wash and 28.30 % in leaf print, followed by *Aspergillus flavus* (12.17 % LW and 9.23 % LP), *Alternaria alternata* (12.01 % LW and 11.67 % LP), *Cladosporium fulvum* (10.41 % LW and 12.81 % LP), *Fusarium roseum* (6.86 % LW and 7.56 % LP), *Penicillium* (5.81 % LW and 4.58 % LP), *Torula* (4.86 % LW and 4.91 % LP), *Fusarium oxysporium* (3.86 % LW and 5.80 % LP), *Rhizopus* (3.50 % LW and 2.22 % LP),

Rhizoctonia bataticola (2.44 % LW and 1.94 % LP), *Rhizoctonia macrophomina* (2.20 % LW and 0.95 % LP), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (1.95 % LW and 2.33 % LP), *Phytophthora rubra* (1.91 % LW and 2.84 % LP), *Aspergillus nidulans* (1.48 % LW and 4.27 % LP) and minimum percentage frequency were observed in *Trichoderma* i.e. 0.92 % in leaf wash and 0.51% in leaf print (Table 2).

Table 2: Percentage frequency of leaf surface mycoflora of *Acalypha wilkesiana-Kona* Gold.

Percentage frequency of leaf surface mycoflora of <i>Acalypha wilkesiana-Kona</i> Gold during different months of 2015-2016.																													
Sr. No.	Mycoflora species	Winter								Summer								Rainy								Average		Average %	
		Oct		Nov		Dec		Jan		Feb		Mar		April		May		June		July		Aug		Sept		LW	LP	LW	LP
		LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP
1	<i>A.alternata</i>	11	8.1	11.2	9.4	8.1	10	0	0	3.4	2.7	6.3	2.9	3.1	2.9	0	0	2.2	3.6	6.3	6.7	11	9.1	7.8	8.1	5.9	5.3	12.01	11.67
2	<i>A.niger</i>	21	20	20	21	17	21	16	14	20	21	15	16.2	4.1	3.2	7.1	3.9	14	13.1	12	10	9.8	6.2	17	4.3	14	13	29.56	28.3
3	<i>A. flavus</i>	11	4.2	5.1	3.2	9.1	12	0	0	4.4	2.1	3.9	4.1	2.1	3.8	3.7	2.1	0	0	30	16	0	0	2.1	3.1	5.9	4.2	12.17	9.233
4	<i>A. fumigatus</i>	2.3	1.9	0	0	0	0	9.1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.1	1.951	2.331
5	<i>A. nidulans</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8.7	7.1	0	9.1	0	7.1	0.7	1.9	1.489	4.277
6	<i>C. fulvum</i>	2.6	2.8	3.2	4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	14.4	0	12	11	12	26	24	5.1	5.8	10.41	12.81
7	<i>F.oxysporum</i>	5.1	6.2	0	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	4.1	0	0	2.1	3.9	0	0	0	0	3.2	6.1	8.1	9.2	4.1	0	1.9	2.6	3.868	5.8
8	<i>F. roseum</i>	4	3.4	7.1	6.2	15	11	0	0	3.3	4.3	0	2	2	2.1	0	0	5.2	7.1	0	0	0	5.3	3.4	0	3.3	3.4	6.863	7.562
9	<i>Penicillium</i>	3.4	2.8	3.6	4.8	4.9	3.1	0	0	11	4.4	8.1	7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2	2.8	0	0	2.8	2.1	5.819	4.589	
10	<i>P. rubra</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.1	2.1	3.1	0	6.1	9.1	3.2	0.9	1.3	1.917	2.845
11	<i>R. bataticola</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	4.1	0	3.1	4.2	7.1	4.1	1.2	0.9	2.447	1.946
12	<i>R.macrophomi</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.8	3.1	6.1	2.1	0	0	0	0	1.1	0.4	2.208	0.954
13	<i>Rhizopus</i>	4.1	3.1	7.1	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.8	3.2	2.1	6.1	0	1.7	1	3.508	2.221	
14	<i>Torula</i>	3.2	2.6	6.1	4.1	0	0	1.9	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8.2	7.1	9.8	0	0	0	0	2.4	2.2	4.861	4.919
15	<i>Trichoderma</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.4	2.8	0	0	0.5	0.2	0.924	0.51	
16	Total	67	55	63.4	57	54	57	27	27	42	39	33	32.3	13	16	11	6	56	54.9	80	78	55	69	83	54	49	45	100	100

LW = Leaf wash, LP = Leaf Print.

In *Caesalpinia pulcherima (red)* percentage frequency of *A. niger* was maximum i.e. 19.27 % in leaf wash and 19.20% in leaf print, followed by *Alternaria alternata* (17.65 % LW and 15.98 % LP), *Cladosporium fulvum* (12.54 % LW and 17.41% LP), *Fusarium roseum* (12.48 % LW and 7.47 % LP), *Aspergillus carbonarius* (7.79 % LW and 4.38 % LP), *Aspergillus flavus* (6.21 % LW and 5.98 % LP), *Trichoderma* (5.70 % LW and 5.39 % LP), *Penicillium* (5.33 % LW and 5.93 % LP), *Aspergillus fumigatus* (3.18 % LW and 3.55 % LP), *Rhizopus* (3.08 % LW and 3.75 % LP), *Curvularia* (2.28 % LW and 3.40 % LP), *Aspergillus*

nidulans (1.28 % LW and 3.06 % LP), *Rhizoctonia bataticola* (1.26 % LW and 2.52 % LP), *Torula* (1.11 % LW and 1.28 % LP) and minimum percentage frequency were observed in *R. macrophomina* i.e. 0.80 % in leaf wash and 0.60% in leaf print. Highest mycoflora were recorded during rainy season followed by winter and summer seasons in decreasing order (Table 3). These results were confirmed by many authors and found that *Aspergillus niger* was the most common phyllosphere fungus found on the leaf surface of different plants (Bhuyan P. M. *et al.* 2013, Dalal 2014, K. L.Tiwari and P.K. Saluja. 2010, Waill A. Elkhateeb *et al.* 2016) [3, 4, 6, 10].

Table 3: Percentage frequency of leaf surface mycoflora of *Caesalpinia pulcherima (red)*.

Percentage frequency of leaf surface mycoflora of <i>Caesalpinia pulcherima (red)</i> during different months of 2015-2016.																													
Sr. No.	Mycoflora species	Winter						Summer						Rainy						Average		Average %							
		Oct		Nov		Dec		Jan		Feb		Mar		April		May		June		July		Aug		Sept		LW	LP	LW	LP
		LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP	LW	LP
1	<i>A.alternata</i>	3.2	2.6	4.1	6.2	0	0	7.1	2.1	3.1	1.1	6.1	3.1	2.1	2.3	1.1	2.3	2.4	1.6	21	19	15	14	25	32	7.5	7.2	17.65	15.98
2	<i>A.niger</i>	17	15	10.1	15	0	0	9.1	10	11	8.7	4.1	2.8	6.3	7.8	17	19	3.2	4.8	7.3	8.4	9.3	8.4	4.2	3.1	8.2	8.6	19.27	19.2
3	<i>A. flavus</i>	0	0	3.6	6.1	0	0	0	2.6	4.7	5.2	6.7	5.9	0	0	4.7	2.2	0	2.4	0	7.8	12	0	0	0	2.7	2.7	6.21	5.988
4	<i>A. fumigatus</i>	3.4	2.9	2.1	4.2	0	0	0	7.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.4	0	3.3	2.6	0	2.2	3.1	0	1.4	1.6	3.183	3.552
5	<i>A. nidulans</i>	0	0	3.2	2.1	1.2	0	2.2	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	1.4	1.289	3.069
6	<i>A.carbonarius</i>	0	0	0	0	20	5.1	6.1	4.3	0	0	14	14.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.3	2	7.791	4.389
7	<i>C. fulvum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16.1	15	14	17	25	26	24	5.4	7.8	12.54	17.41	
8	<i>curvularia sp.</i>	0	0	0	0	4.1	3.2	2.1	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.1	2.1	3.4	2.3	2.4	1.1	3.1	1	1.5	2.285	3.403	
9	<i>F. roseum</i>	3.1	2.9	6.1	4.2	0	0	15	0	0	6.1	8.9	0	2.1	3.1	0	3.4	4	0	10	3.1	4.1	5.2	10	12	5.3	3.4	12.48	7.476
10	<i>Penicillium</i>	0	4.2	3.2	4.1	0	0	2.4	0	3.2	4.3	2.2	4.1	0	0	0	0	4.1	2.1	12	11	0	0	0	2.4	2.3	2.7	5.331	5.933
11	<i>R. bataticola</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.2	3.6	0	0	4.3	5.1	0	4.9	0.5	1.1	1.269	2.529
12	<i>R. macrophom</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	3.2	0.5	0	1.5	0	0.3	0.3	0.801	0.6
13	<i>Rhizopus</i>	0	0	0	0	2.1	3.4	0	0	4.1	2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.7	5.5	7.4	0	3.4	4.1	0	1.3	1.7	3.085	3.757	
14	<i>Torula</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0.7	1.6	0	0.1	3.2	0.5	0.6	1.113	1.283	
15	<i>Trichoderma</i>	5.5	7.1	8.9	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	4.1	0	0	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	4.6	12	6.1	0	2.4	2.4	5.702	5.393	
16	Total	32	35	41.3	46	28	12	50	58	26	28	46	30.1	11	17	23	27	20	40.4	82	82	71	78	82	85	43	45	100	100

LW = leaf wash, LP = Leaf Print.

4. References

- Eicker. Non- parasitic mycoflora of the phylloplane and litter of *Panicum colatum*. Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc. 1976; 67:275-281.
- EI-Said HM. Phyllosphere and Phylloplane fungi of Banana Cultivated in upper Egypt and their cellulolytic ability. Mycology. 2001; 29(4):210-217.
- Bhuyan PM, Sandilya SP, Gogoi DK. Phyllosphere Microflora of Muga Silkworm Host Plant *Persea bombycina* Kost (Som) Leaves in Jorhat District of Assam, India. Int. Res. J. Biological Sci. 2013; 2(12):60-65.
- Dalal LP. Study of leaf Surface Mycoflora of Some Selected Plants. Int. J. Adv. Sci. and tech. research. 2014; 4(3):805-813.
- Abdel-Fattah HM, Moubasher A, Abdel-Hafez SII. Fungus flora of root and leaf surface of broad bean cultivated in Oases, Egypt. *Naturalia monspeliensis* Ser. Bot. 1977; 27:167-177.
- Tiwari KL, Saluja PK. Survey of Leaf Surface Mycoflora of *Catharanthus roseus* in relation to environmental factors. Flora and Fauna. 2010; 16(2):233-238.
- Nagaraja TG. Rhizosphere and phyllosphere studies in *Strychnos nux-vomica* Linn. Adv. Plant Sci. 1991; 4:171-173.
- Sharma PD. Experimental studies of some micro-fungi from decaying shoots of *Setaria glauca*. Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc. 1974; 63:397-400.
- Abdel-Hafez SII. Leaf surface fungi of *Argemone mexicana* growing in Saudi Arabia. *Cryptogamie, Mycol.* 1985; 6:69-78.
- Waill Elkhateeb A, Abdel-nasser Zohri A, Mohamed Mazen B, Mohamed Hashem, Ghoson Daba M. Investigation of diversity of endophytic, phylloplane and phyllosphere Mycobiota isolated from different cultivated Plants in new reclaimed Soil, upper Egypt with potential Biological applications. Int. J. Medipharm research. 2016; 2 (1):23-31.